Reply to Office Action of December 13, 2005

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS:

Please amend claim 1 as indicated below.

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

Claim 1 (currently amended): A tube for a microscope, comprising:

an adaptation interface;

a rotatably disposed operator interface;

a beam deflecting device including a beam-splitting device; and

a rotatably disposed beam deflecting unit, a rotation of the operator interface being constrainedly coupled to a rotation of the beam deflecting unit;

wherein the beam deflecting device is configured to deflect, in a direction of the beam deflecting unit and away from the operator interface, a light beam coming from the adaptation interface.

Claim 2 (original): The tube as recited in claim 1 wherein the beam deflecting device includes a deflecting prism.

Claim 3 (original): The tube as recited in claim 2 wherein the deflecting prism is configured to deflect by 90 degrees the light beam coming from the adaptation interface.

Reply to Office Action of December 13, 2005

deflecting unit.

Claim 4 (previously presented): The tube as recited in claim 1 wherein the beam-splitting device includes a Bauernfeind prism configured to reflect therein twice the light beam coming from the adaptation interface.

Claim 5 (original): The tube as recited in claim 4 wherein the beam deflecting device includes a deflecting prism configured to deflect by 90 degrees the light beam coming from the adaptation interface, and wherein the Bauernfeind prism is disposed between the deflecting prism and the beam

Claim 6 (previously presented): The tube as recited in claim 5 wherein the beam-splitting device includes an optical component associated with the Bauernfeind prism, the optical component being configured to split off, to at least one of a documentation interface and a detector, at least a part of the light beam coming from the adaptation interface.

Claim 7 (original): The tube as recited in claim 6 wherein the optical component includes a prism attached to the Bauernfeind prism.

Claim 8 (previously presented): The tube as recited in claim 7 wherein the prism is cemented to the Bauernfeind prism.

Claim 9 (original): The tube as recited in claim 1 wherein optical properties of the beam deflecting device are selectable so that a length of an optical path of the light beam in the tube is adaptable.

Resp. Dated June 12, 2006

Reply to Office Action of December 13, 2005

Claim 10 (original): The tube as recited in claim 1 wherein at least a portion of the beam splitter

device is movable into and out of a working position.

Claim 11 (original): The tube as recited in claim 10 wherein the at least a portion of the beam

splitter device is movable into and out of the working position guided by a magazine slider.

Claim 12 (original): The tube as recited in claim 1 wherein the operator interface and the beam

deflecting unit are rotatable about a rotation axis, the rotation axis being perpendicular to an optical

axis of the light beam.

Claim 13 (original): The tube as recited in claim 1 wherein, upon a rotation of the operator

interface through a first angle, the beam deflecting unit is configured to rotate through a second

angle half as large as the first angle.

Claim 14 (original): The tube as recited in claim 1 further comprising a lens device disposed

between the adaptation interface and the beam deflecting device, the lens device having a positive

refractive power.

Claim 15 (original): The tube as recited in claim 14 wherein the lens device is configured to

convert a substantially collimated light beam into a converging light beam.

Claim 16 (original): The tube as recited in claim 1 further comprising a lens device rotatably

disposed between the beam deflecting unit and the operator interface, the lens device including a

first lens having a negative refractive power and a second lens having a positive refractive power.

Reply to Office Action of December 13, 2005

Claim 17 (original): The tube as recited in claim 16 wherein the first lens is configured to

substantially collimate a light beam coming from the beam deflecting device.

Claim 18 (original): The tube as recited in claim 17 further comprising a telescopable assembly

telescopable in a direction of an optical axis of a light beam extending therein, the second lens and

the operator interface being included in the telescopable assembly.

Claim 19 (original): The tube as recited in claim 1 wherein the operator interface includes a

binocular element configured for eyepiece viewing by an operator.

Claim 20 (previously presented): The tube as recited in claim 1 wherein the beam-splitting

device is configured to split off, to at least one of a documentation interface and a detector, at least a

part of the light beam coming from the adaptation interface.

Claim 21 (previously presented): The tube as recited in claim 20 wherein the beam-splitting

device includes an optical component associated with the Bauernfeind prism, the optical component being configured to split off, to at least one of the documentation interface and the detector, the at

least a part of the light beam coming from the adaptation interface.

Claim 22 (previously presented): The tube as recited in claim 21 wherein the optical component

includes a prism attached to the Bauernfeind prism.

Application No. 10/752,258 Docket No.: 20793/0204560-US0

Resp. Dated June 12, 2006 Reply to Office Action of December 13, 2005

Claim 23 (previously presented): The tube as recited in claim 22 wherein the prism is cemented to the Bauernfeind prism.